Hydrology Study for:



Dated: August 9, 2023 Prepared By: Land Development Professionals 130 Prominence Court Dawsonville, Georgia 30534



Table of Contents

NARRATIVE	2
DISCHARGE SUMMARY	$\frac{2}{3}$
PROPOSED PROJECT SCOPE:	4
BMPS's	4
WATER QUALITY	4
CHANNEL PROTECTION	5
OVERBANK FLOOD PROTECTION AND EXTREME FLOOD PROTECTION	5
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN METHODOLOGY	5
PROPOSED POND STAGE STORAGE	6
APPENDIX A PLANS	7
Stormwater Detention Pond	.8
APPENDIX B EXHIBITS	0
Drainage Basin Exhibits1	1
Firmette1	4
Soils Information1	5
APPENDIX C SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION	6
Site Development Tool1	7
IDF Curve1	8
BMP Tracking Forms:2	22
APPENDIX D HYDROGRAPHS	24

Narrative

The Site is located at Mountain Road, Cumming, Forsyth Georgia. It is an undeveloped 10.95 acre tract. The proposed land use is a laydown yard for the City of Cumming Utilities. The laydown yard will be graveled with a heavy duty paved access drive. The offsite drainage areas are minimal as shown on the pre and post development maps. There is one drainage basin containing offsite and on site run off.

Stormwater modeling was performed with the "HydroCAD" modeling software package, a variable tailwater hydrograph routing software that combines elements of TR-20 with fundamental reach routing and pond routing principles. A copy of the software inputs and outputs are included in **Appendix D**.

24-hour rainfall totals for the model were taken from the NOAA Precipitation Frequency Data Server for the site location

The overall Total Suspended Solid (TSS) load percentage for the project site was calculated using accepted spreadsheet tools developed by the Atlanta Regional Commission. This analysis provides credit based on better site design methods and on the effect of treatment trains. A copy of the TSS load rate calculation is provided in **Appendix B**.

Water Quality of 100% of the first 1.0 inch of rainfall is provided by the proposed storm water pond.

Vicinity Map



Figure 1 Vicinity Map

Discharge Summary

				Disch	<u>arge Su</u>	ummary	<u> </u>	
	Return Frequency (YR)	1	2	5	10	25	50	100
	Rainfall (in)	3.38	3.84	4.6	5.23	6.11	6.79	7.48
Study Point								
A	Predevelopment (cfs)	2.17	3.93	7.68	11.39	17.22	22.13	27.46
Study Point								
А	Post-Development Flow (cfs)	0.69	1.09	1.55	2.37	3.37	3.69	3.98

Proposed Project Scope:

The proposed project is a pipe laydown yard. The proposed infrastructure is a detention pond, OCS, and riprap apron.

BMPS's

In order to protect and enhance downstream environmental quality, temporary best management practices (BMPs) will be employed both during construction (temporary) and after construction is complete (permanent). Temporary BMPs will be identified and designed as part of the construction plan set's Erosion, Pollution, and Sedimentation Control Plan. A permanent Stormwater Pond is proposed for this project.

The Permanent provides all four methods of environmental protection as required by the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual; water quality treatment, downstream channel protection, overbank flood protection, and extreme flood protection.

Water Quality

Stormwater runoff from all the existing and proposed impervious surfaces in the project area will be directed to the detention pond, which provides water quality in a permanent wet pool of water equal to the water quality volume. Stormwater runoff displaces the water already present in the pool.

The stormwater detention pond provides a 80% reduction in TSS, meeting the regulatory threshold. Calculations for this TSS reduction were performed utilizing the Georgia Stormwater Management Manual Stormwater Quality Site Development Review Tool, version 2.2, and are appended for reference.

The water quality volume has been calculated as follows:

WATER QUALITY CALCULATIONS

WATER QUALITY STORAGE CALC										
WQ	2480.0	CF								
Rv	0.150									
Impervious surface percentage	0.112									
On-Impervious Drainage Area	0.569	24800	SF							
Total onsite Drainage Area A	5.100									

WQ= 1.2"* impervious surface Using the proposed pond storage volume, the permanent pool elevation (Water Quality Storage) will be 1158.50.

Channel Protection

To prevent an increase in downstream channel scour from the development, the runoff volume of the 1 year storm has been captured and drawn down over a period of 24 hours in the existing detention pond, in accordance with guidelines set by the GSMM. The channel protection volume for the 1-Year rainfall @ 3.38" is 0.219 AF. The required 6" channel protection orifice would be at 1260.9

Overbank Flood Protection and Extreme Flood Protection

A downstream hydrologic assessment for the overbank and extreme flood protection includes an unnamed tributary to Baldridge Creek. Overbank flood and Extreme Flood Protection are provided by the proposed stormwater management pond.

Stormwater Management Design Methodology

Stormwater modeling was performed with the "HydroCAD" modeling software package, a variable tailwater hydrograph routing software that combines elements of TR-20 with fundamental reach routing and pond routing principles. A copy of the software inputs and outputs are included in **Appendix D**.

24-hour rainfall totals for the model were taken from the NOAA Precipitation Frequency Data Server for the site location

The overall Total Suspended Solid (TSS) load percentage for the project site was calculated using accepted spreadsheet tools developed by the Atlanta Regional Commission. This analysis provides credit based on better site design methods and on the effect of treatment trains. A copy of the TSS load rate calculation is provided in **Appendix B**.

Water Quality Volume was calculated from the equation provided in the GSMM., and *Channel Protection* volume was calculated by methodologies within the GSMM. Copies of these spreadsheets for each pond are included in **Appendix B**.

Peak discharge calculations were performed using methodology from the Natural Resource Conservation Service's Technical Release 20, (NRCS TR-20) typically referred to as "the SCS Method." Watersheds were delineated on field run survey where available, and on USGS Quadrangle Maps where not available.

Stormwater modeling was performed with the "HydroCAD" modeling software package, a variable tailwater hydrograph routing software that combines elements of TR-20 with fundamental reach routing and pond routing principles. A copy of the software inputs and outputs are included in **Appendix D**.

24-hour rainfall totals for the model were taken from the NOAA Precipitation Frequency Data Server for the site location.

Proposed Pond Stage Storage

Elevation	Cum.Store
(feet)	(acre-feet)
1,257.00	0.000
1,258.00	0.090
1,259.00	0.167
1,260.00	0.250
1,261.00	0.375
1,262.00	0.514
1,263.00	0.689
1,264.00	0.874
1,265.00	1.359
1,266.00	1.712
1,267.00	2.498

All stormwater management requirements have been satisfied with the existing wet detention pond. Water quality and Channel Protection are adequately designed to meet GSMM requirements.

Stormwater Detention Pond



.....

Drainage Basin Exhibits

Predevelopment Drainage Basin



Post-Development Drainage Basin Exhibit



Firmette

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend



Basemap Imagery Source: USGS National Map 2023

Soils Information



National Cooperative Soil Survey

Conservation Service

Page 1 of 3

MAP	LEGEND	MAP INFORMATION			
Area of Interest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	Spoil AreaStony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.			
Soils Soil Map Unit Polygons Soil Map Unit Lines	Image: Weight of the state Image: Weight of the state Image: Market of the state Image: Weight of the state Image: Market of the state Image: Weight of the state	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale. Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can ca misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of			
Soli Map Unit Points Special Point Features Blowout	Special Line Features Water Features	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more de scale.			
Borrow Pit Clay Spot	Transportation HII Rails	measurements. Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:			
Gravel Pit Gravelly Spot	 Interstate Highways US Routes Major Roads 	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Me projection, which preserves direction and shape but distort			
Landfill Lava Flow	Local Roads Background Acrial Photography	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such a Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required. This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified c			
Mine or Quarry Miscellaneous Water	, onar notography	of the version date(s) listed below. Soil Survey Area: Forsyth County, Georgia Survey Area Data: Version 15, Sep 13, 2022			
 Perennial Water Rock Outcrop Seline Sect 		Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scale 1:50,000 or larger. Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Mar 14. 2022-			
Saline Spot Sandy Spot Severely Eroded Spot		21, 2022 The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines v compiled and digitized probably differs from the backgroun			
SinkholeSlide or Slip		imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some mino shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.			
ø Sodic Spot					



Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Ab	Toccoa and Chewacla soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	0.9	1.6%
AdB2	Appling sandy clay loam, eroded very gently sloping phase	0.0	0.0%
CaB3	Cecil clay loam, severely eroded very gently sloping phase	0.5	0.9%
CaD3	Cecil clay loam, severely eroded sloping phase	1.0	1.7%
CcB2	Cecil sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, moderately eroded	2.4	4.3%
EaC	Edgemont stony sandy loam, gently sloping phase	10.4	18.4%
EaD2	Edgemont stony sandy loam, eroded sloping phase	16.1	28.4%
EaE	Edgemont stony sandy loam, moderately steep phase	15.0	26.6%
EaE2	EaE2 Edgemont stony sandy loam, eroded moderately steep phase		0.3%
EaF	Edgemont stony sandy loam, steep phase	0.6	1.1%
Ga	Gullied land, acid materials	8.3	14.7%
Sb	Severely gullied land	1.1	2.0%
Totals for Area of Interest		56.6	100.0%

Site Development Tool

IDF Curve

5/20/2020

Precipitation Frequency Data Server



NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 9, Version 2 Location name: Cumming, Georgia, USA* Latitude: 34.2042°, Longitude: -84.1396° Elevation: 1203.23 ft* * source: ESRI Maps ** source: USGS



POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Sanja Perica, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Michael St, Laurent, Carl Trypajuk, Daje Unruh, Michael Yekta, Geoffery Bonnin

NQAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland

PF_tabular | PF_graphical | Maps_&_aerials

PF tabular

PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with 90% confidence intervals (in inches) ¹											
Duration				Average	recurrence	interval (y	ears)				
	1	2	5	10	25	50	100	200	500	1000	
5-min	0.416	0.477	0.578	0.665	0.788	0.886	0.986	1.09	1.23	1.34	
	(0.333-0.520)	(0.382-0.596)	(0.462-0.724)	(0.529-0.835)	(0.615-1.01)	(0.680-1.14)	(0.739-1.29)	(0.794-1.45)	(0.873-1.66)	(0.933-1.82)	
10-min	0.609	0.698	0.847	0.974	1.15	1.30	1.44	1.60	1.80	1.96	
	(0.488-0.761)	(0.559-0.873)	(0.677-1.06)	(0.775-1.22)	(0.900-1.48)	(0.995-1.67)	(1.08-1.89)	(1.16-2.12)	(1.28-2.43)	(1.37-2.67)	
15-min	0.743	0.851	1.03	1,19	1.41	1,58	1,76	1.95	2,20	2,39	
	(0.595-0.928)	(0.681-1.06)	(0.825-1.29)	(0.945-1.49)	(1.10-1.80)	(1.21-2.04)	(1.32-2.30)	(1.42-2.58)	(1.56-2.96)	(1.67-3.25)	
30-min	1.04	1.20	1.45	1.67	1.98	2.22	2.46	2.72	3.06	3.33	
	(0.834-1.30)	(0.957-1.50)	(1.16-1.82)	(1.33-2.10)	(1.54-2.53)	(1.70-2.86)	(1.85-3.22)	(1.98-3.61)	(2.17-4.13)	(2.32-4.52)	
60-min	1.33	1.52	1.84	2.11	2.50	2.82	3.14	3.48	3.94	4.31	
	(1.07-1.67)	(1.22-1.90)	(1.47-2.30)	(1.68-2.65)	(1.96-3.21)	(2.16-3.64)	(2.36-4.11)	(2.54-4.62)	(2.80-5.32)	(3.00-5.85)	
2-hr	1.63	1.85	2.23	2.56	3.03	3.42	3.82	4.24	4.82	5.29	
	(1.32-2.01)	(1.50-2.28)	(1.80-2.75)	(2.06-3.17)	(2.40-3.85)	(2.66-4.36)	(2.91-4.94)	(3.14-5.57)	(3.48-6.43)	(3.74-7.09)	
3-hr	1.82	2.06	2.47	2.83	3.36	3.79	4.24	4.72	5.39	5.92	
	(1.49-2.23)	(1.68-2.52)	(2.01-3.03)	(2.29-3.48)	(2.68-4.23)	(2.97-4.80)	(3.26-5.45)	(3.53-6.16)	(3.93-7.14)	(4.22-7.86)	
6-hr	2.24	2.52	3.01	3.44	4.06	4.58	5.11	5.68	6.47	7.09	
	(1.85-2.71)	(2.08-3.05)	(2.48-3.65)	(2.82-4.18)	(3.29-5.06)	(3.64-5.72)	(3.98-6.48)	(4.31-7.30)	(4.78-8.44)	(5.14-9.31)	
12-hr	2.78	3.15	3.76	4.28	5.02	5.61	6.22	6.85	7.72	8.39	
	(2.33-3.32)	(2.63-3.76)	(3.14-4.49)	(3.56-5.13)	(4.11-6.15)	(4.52-6.91)	(4.90-7.76)	(5.27-8.68)	(5.78-9.92)	(6.17-10.9)	
24-hr	3.38	3.84	4.60	5.23	6.11	6.79	7.48	8.19	9.13	9.85	
	(2.87-3.98)	(3.25-4.53)	(3.89-5.43)	(4.41-6.19)	(5.05-7.35)	(5.53-8.23)	(5.97-9.19)	(6.38-10.2)	(6.94-11.6)	(7.37-12.6)	
2-day	3.97	4.51	5.40	6.14	7.17	7.98	8.79	9.62	10.7	11.6	
	(3.41-4.62)	(3.87-5.25)	(4.62-6.29)	(5.24-7.17)	(6.01-8.52)	(6.59-9.54)	(7.12-10.6)	(7.61-11.8)	(8.29-13.4)	(6.80-14.6)	
3-day	4.37 (3.78-5.04)	4.93 (4.26-5.69)	5.87 (5.06-6.78)	6.66 (5.73-7.72)	7.79 (6.59-9.19)	8.68 (7.24-10.3)	9.59 (7.84-11.5)	10.5 (8.41-12.9)	11.8 (9.22-14.6)	12.8 (9.83-16.0)	
4-day	4.72 (4.10-5.41)	5.28 (4.59-6.06)	6.25 (5.42-7.18)	7.08 (6.12-8.15)	8.27 (7.05-9.72)	9.23 (7.75-10.9)	10.2 (8.41-12.2)	11.2 (9.05-13.7)	12.7 (9.96-15.6)	13.8 (10.7-17.1)	
7-day	5.61	6.21	7.25	8.17	9.50	10.6	11.7	12.9	14.6	16.0	
	(4.93-6.36)	(5.46-7.05)	(6.36-8.24)	(7.14-9.30)	(8.21-11.1)	(9.01-12.4)	(9.80-13.9)	(10.6-15.6)	(11.7-17.9)	(12.5-19.6)	
10-day	6.37	7.03	8.16	9.16	10.6	11.8	13.1	14.4	1 6.2	17.7	
	(5.64-7.18)	(6.22-7.92)	(7.21-9.21)	(8.06-10.4)	(9.23-12.3)	(10.1-13.7)	(11.0-15.4)	(11.8-17.2)	(13.1-19.8)	(14.0-21.5)	
20-day	8.52	9.39	10.8	12.1	13.9	15.3	16.7	18.2	20.3	21.9	
	(7.64-9.47)	(8.41-10.4)	(9.70-12.1)	(10.8-13.5)	(12.2-15.7)	(13.3-17.4)	(14.3-19.3)	(15.2-21.4)	(16.6-24.2)	(17.6-26.3)	
30-day	10.5 (9.46-11.5)	11.5 (10.4-12.7)	13.2 (11.9-14.6)	14.6 (13.2-16.2)	16.6 (14.7-18.6)	18.1 (15.8-20.4)	19.6 (16.8-22.4)	21.1 (17.8-24.5)	23.2 (19.1-27.3)	24.7 (20.1-29.4)	
45-day	13.1	14.4	16.4	17.9	20.0	21.6	23.1	24.5	26.4	27.7	
	(12.0-14.3)	(13.1-15.7)	(14.9-17.9)	(16.3-19.7)	(17.8-22.2)	(19.0-24.1)	(20.0-26.1)	(20.8-28.1)	(21.9-30.7)	(22.7-32.6)	
60-day	15.6	17.0	19.2	20.9	23.0	24.5	25.9	27.2	28.6	29.8	
	(14.3-16.9)	(15.6-18.5)	(17.5-20.9)	(19.0-22.7)	(20.5-25.3)	(21.7-27.2)	(22.5-29.1)	(23.2-31.0)	(24.0-33.2)	(24.6-34.9)	

¹ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).

Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the 90% confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is 5%. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values. Please refer to NOAA Atles 14 document for more information.

Back to Top

PF graphical

26

https://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/pfds_printpage.html?lat=34.2042&lon==84.1396&data=depth&units=english&series=pds

1/4

5/20/2020

Precipitation Frequency Data Server



PDS-based depth-duration-frequency (DDF) curves



5-min - 2-day 10-min 3-day 15-min - 4-day 30-min - 7-day 60-min - 10-day 20-day 2-hr 3-hr 30-day 6-hr - 45-day - 60-day 12-hr 24-hr

Duration

NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 9, Version 2

Created (GMT): Wed May 20 21:42:21 2020

Back to Top

Maps & aerials

Small scale terrain

https://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/pfds_printpage.html?lat=34.2042&lon=-84.1396&data=depth&units=english&series=pds

27

5/20/2020

Precipitation Frequency Data Server







Large scale aerial

Precipitation Frequency Data Server

Chattanooga tsville Athens Atlanta 100km 60mi Macon

Back to Top

US Department of Commerce National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Weather Service National Water Center 1325 East West Highway Silver Spring, MD 20910 Questions?: <u>HDSC.Questions@noaa.gov</u>

Disclaimer

City of Cumming | Mountain Road Storage Yard | Hydrology Study

5/20/2020

BMP Tracking Forms:

		Condit			
Maintenance Item	Good	Marginal	Poor	N/A*	Comment
(General In	spection		<u> </u>	
ccess to the site is adequately maintained					
r inspection and maintenance.					
ea is clean (trash, debris, grass clippings,					
c. removed).					
	Inlet Str	ucture			
ainage ways (overland flow or pipes) to					
e practice are free of trash, debris, large					
anches, etc.					
ea around the inlet structure is mowed					
d grass clippings are removed.					
o evidence of gullies, rills, or excessive					
osion around the inlet structure.					
let pipe is in good condition, and water is					
ing through the structure (i.e. no evidence					
water going around the structure).					
version structure (high flow bypass					
ructure or other) is free of trash, debris, or					
diment. Comment on overall condition of					
version structure and list type.					
Pretr	eatment	(choose one)		
orebay – area is free of trash, debris, and					
diment.					
ter Strip or Grass Channels – area is free of					
ash debris and sediment. Area has been					
owed and grass clippings are removed. No					
idence of erosion.					
ock Lineu Flunge Pools – area is free of					
ash depris and sediment. Rock thickness in					
on is adequate.	Main Tre	atment			
ain treatment area is free of trash debris					
id sediment.					
osion protection is present on site lie turf					
inforcement mats). Comment on types of					
osion protection and evaluate condition.					
o algal growth along or within the pond.					
ative plants were used in the practice					
cording to the planting plan. No					
idesirable vegetation.					
actice seems to be working properly. No					
ttling around the stormwater pond.					
ten Barbaria trie storrintater portar					

Operations & Maintenance Guidance Document

.....

Gwinnett County Stormwater Management Manual Version 2.0 | 12.10.2020 | GCSMM_2.0.pdf

783

Operations & Maintenance Guidance Document

		Conditi			
Maintenance Item	Good	Marginal	N/A*	Comment	
Comment on overall condition of	0000	marginar	1001		
stormwater pond.					
Vegetation within and around practice is					
maintained per landscaping plan. Grass					
clippings are removed.					
No significant sediment accumulation within					
the practice.					
No evidence of use of fertilizer on plants					
(fertilizer crusting on the surface of the soil,					
tips of leaves turning brown or yellow,					
blackened roots, etc.).					
Plants seem to be healthy and in good					
condition. Comment on condition of plants.		Overflew			
Emorgonau overflow is free of trash, debris	nergency	Overnow		<u>т г</u>	
and sediment.					
No evidence of erosion, scour, flooding, or					
animal activity around the structure.					
No evidence of erosion, scour, or flooding					
around the structure.					
	Outlet St	ructure			
Outlet structure is free of trash, debris, and sediment.					
No evidence of erosion, scour, or flooding					
around the structure.					
Outlet structure does not appear to be blocked.					
No evidence of animal activity.					
No evidence of seepage on the downstream					
face.					
	Resu	ilts			
Overall condition of Stormwater Pond:					
	11.4				

114

Gwinnett County Stormwater Management Manual Version 2.0 | 12.10.2020 | GCSMM_2.0.pdf

City of Cumming | Mountain Road Storage Yard | Hydrology Study

Project Notes

Rainfall events imported from "Detention Pond Assessment 2021.hcp"

Event#	Event Name	Storm Type	Curve	Mode	Duration (hours)	B/B	Depth (inches)	AMC
1	1-yr	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	3.38	2
2	2-yr	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	3.84	2
3	5-yr	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	4.60	2
4	10-yr	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	5.23	2
5	25-yr	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	6.11	2
6	50-yr	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	6.79	2
7	100-yr	Type II 24-hr		Default	24.00	1	7.48	2

Rainfall Events Listing

Runoff = 2.17 cfs @ 12.30 hrs, Volume= 0.304 af, Depth> 0.31"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 1-yr Rainfall=3.38"

 Area ((ac)	CN	Desc	ription		
11.	200	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
 0.0	600	89	Pave	ed roads w	open ditch/	ies, 50% imp, HSG B
11.8	800	57	Weig	hted Aver	age	
11.	500		97.4	6% Pervio	us Area	
0.3	300		2.54	% Impervio	ous Area	
Tc (min)	Lengt (fee	:h t)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
 27.0	1,40) 0 C	0.0830	0.87		Lag/CN Method, TC



Runoff = 3.93 cfs @ 12.28 hrs, Volume= 0.460 af, Depth> 0.47"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 2-yr Rainfall=3.84"

 Area ((ac)	CN	Desc	ription		
11.	200	55	Woo	ds, Good,	HSG B	
 0.0	600	89	Pave	ed roads w	open ditch	ies, 50% imp, HSG B
11.8	800	57	Weig	hted Aver	age	
11.	500		97.4	6% Pervio	us Area	
0.3	300		2.54	% Impervio	ous Area	
Tc (min)	Lengt (fee	:h t)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
 27.0	1,40) 0 C	0.0830	0.87		Lag/CN Method, TC



Runoff = 7.68 cfs @ 12.26 hrs, Volume= 0.767 af, Depth> 0.78"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 5-yr Rainfall=4.60"

	Area ((ac)	CN	Desc	Description						
	11.:	200	55	Woods, Good, HSG B							
0.600 89 Paved roads w/open ditche							es, 50% imp, HSG B				
	11.8	800	57	Weig	hted Aver	age					
	11.500			97.46	97.46% Pervious Area						
	0.3		2.54% Impervious Area								
	Tc (min)	Lengt (fee	h t)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description				
	27.0	1,40	0 0	.0830	0.87		Lag/CN Method, TC				



Runoff = 11.39 cfs @ 12.24 hrs, Volume= 1.061 af, Depth> 1.08"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 10-yr Rainfall=5.23"

	Area	(ac)	CN	Description							
	11.	200	55	Woods, Good, HSG B							
0.600 89 Paved roads w/open ditche							es, 50% imp, HSG B				
	11.	800	57	Weig	hted Aver	age					
	11.500			97.46	97.46% Pervious Area						
	0.300			2.54% Impervious Area							
	Tc (min)	Lengt (feet	h S	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description				
	27.0	1,40	0 0.	0830	0.87		Lag/CN Method, TC				





Runoff = 17.22 cfs @ 12.23 hrs, Volume= 1.521 af, Depth> 1.55"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 25-yr Rainfall=6.11"

	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	Description						
11.200 55 Woods, Good, HSG B											
0.600 89 Paved roads w/open ditche							ies, 50% imp, HSG B				
11.800 57 We					Weighted Average						
11.500				97.46	97.46% Pervious Area						
0.300				2.54% Impervious Area							
	Tc (min)	Lengt (feet	h (:)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description				
	27.0	1,40	0.0.	.0830	0.87		Lag/CN Method, TC				





Runoff = 22.13 cfs @ 12.23 hrs, Volume= 1.909 af, Depth> 1.94"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 50-yr Rainfall=6.79"

	Area	(ac)	CN	Desc	ription						
	11.	200	55	Woods, Good, HSG B							
0.600 89 Paved roads w/open ditche							nes, 50% imp, HSG B				
	11.	800	57	Weig	hted Aver	age					
11.500				97.46	97.46% Pervious Area						
0.300				2.54% Impervious Area							
	Tc (min)	Lengtł (feet	ו S)	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description				
	27.0	1,400	0.	0830	0.87		Lag/CN Method, TC				



Runoff = 27.46 cfs @ 12.22 hrs, Volume= 2.328 af, Depth> 2.37"

Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN, Time Span= 5.00-20.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Type II 24-hr 100-yr Rainfall=7.48"

	Area (a	ac) (CN	Desc	ription						
	11.2	200	55	Woods, Good, HSG B							
0.600 89 Paved roads w/open ditche							es, 50% imp, HSG B				
	11.8	800	57	Weig	hted Aver	age					
	11.500			97.46% Pervious Area							
	0.3	800		2.54% Impervious Area							
	Tc (min)	Length (feet)	S	lope (ft/ft)	Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description				
	27.0	1,400	0.0	0830	0.87		Lag/CN Method, TC				

